

Predicate formation and verb-stranding ellipsis in Uzbek

Appendix A: Deriving non-verbal predicate forms

Vera Gribanova
Stanford University
Department of Linguistics
Margaret Jacks Hall, Building 460
Stanford, CA 94305-2150
gribanov@stanford.edu

1 Derivation of non-verbal predicate forms

- (1) Derivation of *qiziqmasmidingiz?* (SYNTHETIC, +PST)
 - a. Output of syntax:
qiziq mas d ingiz mi
interesting NEG PST 2 Q
'Weren't you interesting?'
 - b. String-vacuous Local Dislocation:
qiziq-mas-d-ingiz-mi
(-d- next to something non-verbal)
 - c. Local Dislocation rescues the violation:
qiziq-mas-mi-d-ingiz?
- (2) Derivation of *qiziq emasmidingiz?* (ANALYTIC, +PST) (root is separate)
 - a. Output of syntax:
qiziq mas d ingiz mi
interesting NEG PST 2 Q
'Weren't you interesting?'
 - b. partial string-vacuous Local Dislocation:
qiziq mas-d-ingiz-mi
(-d- next to something non-verbal & -mas has no phonological support)
 - c. E-SUPPORT:
qiziq e-mas-d-ingiz-mi
(-d- still next to something non-verbal)
 - d. Local Dislocation rescues the violation:
qiziq e-mas-mi-d-ingiz
- (3) Derivation of *qiziqmas edingizmi?* (ANALYTIC, +PST) (root-NEG complex is separate)
 - a. Output of syntax:
qiziq mas d ingiz mi
interesting NEG PST 2 Q
'Weren't you interesting?'

- b. partial string-vacuous Local Dislocation :
qiziq-mas d-ingiz-mi
(-d- has no phonological support)
 - c. E-SUPPORT provides phonological support for -d-:
qiziq-mas e-d-ingiz-mi
 - d. Local Dislocation cannot apply: E-SUPPORT not an appropriate leftward host for -mi.
- (4) Derivation of *qiziqmasmisiz?* or *qiziqmassizmi?* (SYNTHETIC, -PST)
- a. Output of syntax:
qiziq mas siz mi
interesting NEG 2 Q
'Aren't you interesting?'
 - b. String-vacuous Local Dislocation:
qiziq-mas-siz-mi
(this is an acceptable result and nothing more need happen)
 - c. Optional Local Dislocation:
qiziq-mas-mi-siz

2 Derivation of participial forms

Participial forms exhibit mixed behavior when it comes to 'verbal' vs. 'non-verbal' morphological strategies: inner morphology (ROOT-NEG-ASP) looks verbal, outer morphology (E-T- ϕ -Q) behaves like it is non-verbal.

Our current analysis therefore leads us to expect that the verbal part is composed via head movement, whereas the non-verbal part is composed via some combination of E-SUPPORT and local dislocation.

- (5) Derivation of *yozmaganmidingiz?* or *yozmagandingizmi?* (SYNTHETIC, +PST)
- a. Output of syntax:
yoz ma gan d ingiz mi
write NEG PTCP PST 2 Q
'Weren't you writing?'
 - b. Head movement up to Asp:
yoz-ma-gan d ingiz mi
(-d-, -ingiz, -mi missing phonological hosts)
 - c. String-vacuous Local Dislocation:
yoz-ma-gan-d-ingiz-mi
(this is an acceptable result and nothing more need happen)
 - d. Optional Local Dislocation:
yoq-ma-gan-mi-d-ingiz
- (6) Derivation of *yozmagan edingizmi?* (ANALYTIC, +PST)
- a. Output of syntax:
yoz ma gan d ingiz mi
write NEG PTCP PST 2 Q
'Weren't you writing?'

- b. Head movement up to Asp:
yoz-ma-gan d ingiz mi
(*-d*, *-ingiz*, *-mi* missing phonological hosts)
- c. String-vacuous Local Dislocation :
yoz-ma-gan d-ingiz-mi
(*-d-* has no phonological support)
- d. E-SUPPORT provides phonological support for *-d-*:
yoz-ma-gan e-d-ingiz-mi
- e. Local Dislocation cannot apply: E-SUPPORT not an appropriate leftward host for *-mi*.